UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,661	08/25/2006	Hiroaki Hayashi	Q96763	2141
	7590 01/30/2008		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE-265550 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW			STEADMAN, DAVID J	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/590,661	HAYASHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David J. Steadman	1656				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versility to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
	.no. 2007					
,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 June 2007</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
· <del></del>						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or of the specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according and according to the application according to the according to the according to the according to the according to th	wn from consideration. election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ■ All b) ■ Some * c) ■ None of:  1. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ■ 3. ■ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	. 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/590,661

Art Unit: 1656

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Status of the Application

- [1] Claims 1-16 are pending in the application.
- [2] Applicant's preliminary amendment to the claims, filed on 8/25/06, is acknowledged. This listing of the claims replaces all prior versions and listings of the claims.
- [3] Applicant's preliminary amendments to the specification, filed on 8/25/06 and 6/12/07, are acknowledged.
- [4] Receipt of amended drawing figures, filed on 12/15/06, is acknowledged.
- [5] Receipt of information disclosure statements, filed on 8/25/06 and 12/15/06, is acknowledged.
- [6] Receipt of a substitute sequence listing in computer readable form (CRF), a paper copy thereof, a statement of their sameness, a statement that no new matter has been added to the specification by the paper copy of the sequence CRF, and an amendment directing entry of the sequence listing paper copy into the specification, all filed on 6/12/07, is acknowledged.

## Lack of Unity

[7] Lack of unity is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372. This application contains the following inventions or goups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Art Unit: 1656

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-11, drawn to the special technical feature of an expression vector comprising a polynucleotide that hybridizes under a stringent condition to a complement of the polynucleotide represented by SEQ ID NO:8 and encodes a polypeptide having the activity of hydoxylating the 24-position of an oleanane type triterpene and a transformant, including a lanosterol synthase deficient yeast mutant strain deposited as FERM BP-10201.

**Group II**, claim(s) 12-13, drawn to the special technial feature of a method for producing a polypeptide.

**Group III**, claim(s) 14-16, drawn to the special technial feature of a method for producing an oleanae type triterpene.

[8] The technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I-III appears to be an expression vector having the characteristics of claim 1 or 6. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reason(s):

According to PCT Rule 13.2 unity of invention exists only when the shared same or corresponding special technical feature is a contribution over the prior art. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept because they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature. According to the instant specification, "CYP93E1 (GenBank Accession Numbers AF 135485) showed the activity, and the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO:8 which showed a high homology therewith" (p. 20, middle), indicating CYP93E1 encoded by the nucleic acid of GenBank Accession Number AF135485 has "the activity of hydroxylating the 24-position of an oleanane type triterpene". The technical feature of Group I is an expression vector, which is shown by Steele et al. (*Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 367:146-150, 1999; cited in

Application/Control Number: 10/590,661

Art Unit: 1656

the IDS filed on 8/25/06) to lack novelty or inventive step because the reference teaches an expression vector comprising the nucleic acid of GenBank Accession Number AF135485, encoding CYP93E1. Thus, the shared same or coresponding special technical feature of Groups I-III is not a contribution over the prior art.

Page 4

37 CFR 1.475 does not provide for the inclusion of multiple methods of use within the main invention. Accordingly, the method of Group III does not have unity of invention with the product of Group I.

- [9] Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- [10] Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Notice of Possible Rejoinder

[11] The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier.

Art Unit: 1656

. . . . .

Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Steadman whose telephone number is 571-272-0942. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri, 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Kathleen Kerr Bragdon can be reached on 571-272-0931. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/590,661

Art Unit: 1656

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David J. Steadman/ David J. Steadman, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1656

Page 6